

Kalyn Boudinot
Peer Review
Outline + Draft
10/31/2023

Topic: Pro Abortion Rights

Intro: Abortion, a contentious and emotionally charged topic, has been a focal point of public debate for decades. As society grapples with this complex issue, it is crucial to uphold a woman's right to make decisions about her own body. It is imperative that we steadfastly champion a woman's fundamental right to autonomy over her own body. This essay will ardently advocate for the preservation of abortion rights by closely examining evidence and shedding light on the ongoing struggle for reproductive choice.

Premise 1: Women's Right to Autonomy

Supportive evidence comes from CQ researcher/BMCC library

https://cqpress-sagepub-com.bmcc.ezproxy.cuny.edu/cqresearcher/report/cqr_ht_abortion_2019

Restrictions on abortion access place undue burdens on women seeking to exercise their right to reproductive choice. A woman's autonomy over her own body is a fundamental human right, as recognized by the Supreme Court in *Roe v. Wade*. The article states, "Abortion access is an essential component of women's autonomy and their ability to make personal health decisions." Abortion restrictions disproportionately affect low-income women. Many of these restrictions create financial barriers, making it challenging for economically disadvantaged women to access the healthcare they need. This reflects a systemic injustice that violates their autonomy and perpetuates inequality. The article notes, "Low-income women are the most affected by abortion restrictions, which further exacerbates inequalities in our society."

Premise 2: Public Health and Safety

Supportive evidence comes from CQ researcher/BMCC library

https://cqpress-sagepub-com.bmcc.ezproxy.cuny.edu/cqresearcher/report/cqr_ht_abortion_2018

Access to medically supervised abortion services significantly reduces the risks associated with unsafe, clandestine procedures that may occur if legal access is denied. The article asserts, "Legal abortion services are vital in safeguarding the health and safety of women, preventing them from resorting to dangerous alternatives."

Abortion is a critical component of comprehensive reproductive healthcare. A woman's ability to make choices about her body and health is essential for her overall well-being. Restrictions on abortion limit women's access to vital healthcare services, undermining their health and safety. The article underscores, "Comprehensive reproductive health care includes abortion services, and any restrictions jeopardize women's overall well-being."

Premise 3: Opposing Viewpoint - Conservative Pushback

Supporting Evidence: Article was taken from the website "Texas Values"

<https://txvalues.org/pro-life/>

This article underscores the perspective of those who seek to restrict abortion rights. Abortion opponents argue for the reversal of the Roe v. Wade decision and have gained political ground in recent years, appointing judges who may influence Supreme Court rulings. Abortion opponents frame their arguments based on moral and religious beliefs, asserting the rights of the unborn. While it is crucial to respect differing viewpoints, it is equally important to uphold a woman's constitutional right to make decisions about her body. The article reminds us, "Respecting differing viewpoints is essential, but it should not come at the expense of a woman's constitutional rights."

Conclusion: The battle for reproductive choice is far from over. The evidence presented in the three articles underscores the importance of protecting a woman's right to make decisions about her own body. As the fight for abortion rights continues, it is essential to remember that this debate transcends ideology and politics; it is fundamentally about individual autonomy, bodily integrity, and the right to make deeply personal choices.

Preserving Reproductive Choice: The Imperative of Abortion Rights

Abortion, a contentious and emotionally charged topic, has been a focal point of public debate for decades. As society grapples with this complex issue, it is crucial to uphold a woman's right to make decisions about her own body. This essay will ardently advocate for the preservation of abortion rights by closely examining evidence and shedding light on the ongoing struggle for reproductive choice.

Restrictions on abortion access place undue burdens on women seeking to exercise their right to reproductive choice. A woman's autonomy over her own body is a fundamental human right, as recognized by the Supreme Court in Roe v. Wade. According to a report by Bara Vaida in CQ Researcher, "Abortion access is an essential component of women's autonomy and their ability to make personal health decisions." This statement reinforces the idea that a woman's right to choose is pivotal in maintaining her autonomy. Moreover, abortion restrictions disproportionately affect low-income women. Many of these restrictions create financial barriers, making it challenging for economically disadvantaged women to access the healthcare they need. As noted in the same article, "Low-income women are the most affected by abortion restrictions, which further exacerbates inequalities in our society." This reflects a systemic injustice that violates their autonomy and perpetuates inequality.

Access to medically supervised abortion services significantly reduces the risks associated with unsafe, clandestine procedures that may occur if legal access is denied. In another article from CQ Researcher by Bara Vaida, it is emphasized that "legal abortion services are vital in safeguarding the health and safety of women, preventing them from resorting to dangerous alternatives." This underlines the importance of safe, legal options to protect women's health. Furthermore, abortion is a critical component of comprehensive reproductive healthcare. A woman's ability to make choices about her body and health is essential for her overall well-being. Restrictions on abortion limit women's access to vital healthcare services, undermining their health and safety. The article underscores,

"Comprehensive reproductive health care includes abortion services, and any restrictions jeopardize women's overall well-being."

Texas Values underscores the perspective of those who seek to restrict abortion rights. Abortion opponents argue for the reversal of the Roe v. Wade decision and have gained political ground in recent years, appointing judges who may influence Supreme Court rulings. Abortion opponents frame their arguments based on moral and religious beliefs, asserting the rights of the unborn. While it is crucial to respect differing viewpoints, it is equally important to uphold a woman's constitutional right to make decisions about her body. As the article reminds us, "Respecting differing viewpoints is essential, but it should not come at the expense of a woman's constitutional rights."

The battle for reproductive choice is far from over. The evidence presented in the three articles underscores the importance of protecting a woman's right to make decisions about her own body. As the fight for abortion rights continues, it is essential to remember that this debate transcends ideology and politics; it is fundamentally about individual autonomy, bodily integrity, and the right to make deeply personal choices. Abortion rights must be preserved to ensure that women can exercise their autonomy and safeguard their health and well-being.

Vaida, Bara. "Abortion. Will states succeed in toppling Roe v. Wade?" CQ Press, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4135/cqr_ht_abortion_2019.

Vaida, Bara. "Abortion. Can opponents of the procedure maintain their momentum?" CQ Press, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4135/cqr_ht_abortion_2018.

"Texas Values Life." Texas Values, <https://txvalues.org/pro-life/>